Shawn Sullivan, Director of the Budget



Sam Brownback, Governor

February 10, 2015

The Honorable Jeff King, Chairperson Senate Committee on Judiciary Statehouse, Room 341-E Topeka, Kansas 66612

Dear Senator King:

SUBJECT: Fiscal Note for SB 133 by Senate Committee on Judiciary

In accordance with KSA 75-3715a, the following fiscal note concerning SB 133 is respectfully submitted to your committee.

Under SB 133, any person would be granted immunity from criminal prosecution for violations relating to the possession or consumption of alcohol when the person is less than 21 years of age provided the person conducted the following actions:

- 1. Contacted law enforcement or emergency medical services and requested medical assistance due to alcohol consumption;
- 2. Cooperated with emergency medical assistance personnel and law enforcement officers on the scene;
- 3. Contacted law enforcement or emergency medical services, or acted in concert with another person who contacted law enforcement or emergency medical services, and requested medical assistance for an individual who reasonably appeared to be in need of medical assistance due to alcohol consumption;
- 4. Provided their full name and any other relevant information requested by law enforcement or emergency medical services;
- 5. Remained at the scene until emergency medical assistance personnel and law enforcement officers arrived; and
- 6. Cooperated with emergency medical assistance personnel and law enforcement officers at the scene.

A person who is immune from prosecution under the bill may be ordered by the court to perform up to 40 hours of community service. No person would be allowed to initiate an action against a law enforcement officer based on the officer's compliance or failure to comply with SB 133.

The Honorable Jeff King, Chairperson February 10, 2015 Page 2—SB 133

According to the Emergency Medical Services Board, the bill could reduce the amount of fine revenue that is credited to the Board by providing immunity to certain underage persons who would have otherwise paid a fine. However, the precise fiscal effect is difficult to determine because the number of violations that would result in immunity from prosecution is unknown. The Board notes that local governments that own or operate ambulance services could incur cost increases if passage of the bill results in increased usage of those services.

The League of Kansas Municipalities concurs that there could be a fiscal effect for local governments but the League is unable to estimate the specific fiscal effect on cities.

The Office of Judicial Administration indicates that there would be no fiscal effect on district or appellate courts. Any fiscal effect associated with SB 133 is not reflected in *The FY 2016 Governor's Budget Report*.

Sincerely,

Shawn Sullivan, Director of the Budget

cc: Joe House, EMS Mary Rinehart, Judiciary Jeremy Barclay, Corrections Jack Smith, Department of Revenue Melissa Wangemann, Association of Counties Larry Baer, League of Municipalities