

Comparison of Major Elements in Two Studies

The Economic Benefits of Kansas Wind Energy

Polsinelli Shughart/KEIN

The Economic Impact of the Kansas Renewable Portfolio Standard

Beacon Hill/Kansas Policy Institute

Findings Related to the Cost of Wind

<p>New Kansas wind generation is cost-effective when compared to other sources of new intermittent or peaking electricity generation.</p> <p>Wind generation provides a hedge against future cost volatility of fossil fuels.</p>	<p>The Kansas RPS law will raise the cost of electricity by \$644 million through 2020.</p> <p>Kansas' electricity prices will rise 45% by 2020 due to the RPS law.</p>
---	---

Findings Related to Impacts

<p>Based on the 19 wind projects in operation or under construction as of November 2012, wind:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - created 12,316 jobs - produces annual landowner lease payments of \$13.7 million - provides annual community contributions of approximately \$10.4 million. - the RPS attracts new businesses to the state. 	<p>As a result of increased energy prices, in 2020 the RPS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - lower employment by an average of 12,110 jobs - reduce real disposable income by \$1.483 billion - decrease investment by \$191 million - increase the average household electricity bill by \$660/year, commercial businesses by an average of \$3,915/year, and industrial businesses by an average of \$25,516/year.
---	---

The studies are not using the same metrics or approach.

Polsinelli study compares the cost of new Kansas wind to new Kansas natural gas.

Polsinelli study bases impacts on outcomes from 19 Kansas wind projects. It cites benefits only, and primarily related to those most directly affected.

Beacon Hill calculates the effect on rates of adding more wind to the current Kansas energy mix.

Beacon Hill study treats the cost increase in electricity as a tax and evaluates it through their model designed to capture the principal effects of tax changes on the economy.