The Capitol Lobby Group

1508 SW Topeka Blvd. • Topeka, Kansas 66612 • (785) 213-1111

TO: House Corrections and Juvenile Justice Committee

FROM: Kevin Barone
DATE: February 13, 2012
RE: Support to HB2070

Good afternoon Chairman and members of the Committee, my name is Kevin Barone and I am the lobbyist for the Kansas Bail Agent Association. The KBAA is an association of professional bonding agents in the State of Kansas. We are here to testify today in support of HB2070.

Types of appearance bonds in Kansas:

There are three types of appearance bonds (commonly know as bail bonds) that a judge may set: (1) professional surety or cash, (2) own recognizance cash deposit ("ORCD"), and (3) own recognizance ("OR").

Professional surety or cash: A professional surety (commonly known as a bail bondsman) will post the bond and guarantee to pay the court the amount of the bond if the defendant fails to appear. Sureties are given a small window to apprehend a defendant who fails to appear in lieu of paying the amount. Statute allows a defendant to post cash in the amount of the bond instead of using a bondsman. Studies show that this type of bond is highly effective at ensuring defendants appear in court.

OR bonds: A defendant is allowed to sign a piece of paper promising to appear in court and, if they fail to appear, promising to pay to the court the amount of the OR bond. The OR bond is intended to be used sparingly and only for persons who will likely appear in court and are not likely to commit other offenses.

ORCD bonds: A defendant is allowed to deposit 10% of the amount of the bond with the court and sign a piece of paper promising to pay to the court the rest of the amount of bond if they fail to appear. The ORCD bond is also intended to be used sparingly and only for defendants who need a little more motivation to appear than provided by an OR bond.

Studies provide compelling evidence that professional surety bonds are vastly more effective than OR or ORCD bonds. For example, according to the Helland & Tabarrok study:

Defendants released on surety bond are 28 percent less likely to fail to appear than similar defendants released on their own recognizance and if they do fail to appear they are 53 percent less likely to remain at large for extended periods of time. Deposit bonds perform only marginally better than release on own recognizance. . . . Given that a defendant skips town, however, the probability of recapture is much higher for those defendants on surety bond. As a result, the probability of being a fugitive is 64 percent lower for those released on surety bond compared to those released on cash bond. These finding indicate that bond dealers and bail enforcement agents ("bounty hunters") are effective at discouraging flight and at recapturing defendants. Bounty hunters, not public police, appear to be the true long arms of the law.

Helland & Tabarrok, Public versus Private Law Enforcement: Evidence from Bail Jumping, 47 Journal of Law and Economics 93 (April 2004).

The problem with overuse of OR bonds

There are no statutory limitations on the use of OR bonds. Because of this, there are times when a defendant who does not qualify for the more restrictive bond gets an OR bond. Many times, that defendant has a history of failing to appear for court, has significant criminal history, or is charged with a very serious crime. There are some outrageous examples of OR bonds that will be shared at the hearing.

The OR bond is simply abused. There is never any effort to collect the amount promised to be paid when a defendant fails to appear, when using an OR bond. Sadly, it is common to see OR bonds in the amounts of tens of thousands of dollars given to an indigent defendant with an appointed attorney. Most defendants know that the OR bond amount is a joke. They know they will never have to pay it. The only consequence of not appearing in court is the issuance of a warrant.

When defendants choose not to go to court, a warrant is issued. The warrant goes to the sheriff to execute. In jurisdictions with a large volume of OR bonds, the number of warrants issued simply overwhelms the sheriff. As a result, these defendants are often returned to custody only after their next encounter with police, whether through a traffic stop or arrest for another crime.

What this bill does to correct the problem

This bill places limitations on the use of OR bonds, and allow for 'Truth in Bonding". This bill allows for the public to be informed about what is really going on. If a defendant does not qualify for the least restrictive bond, the court should set the bond appropriately to ensure the public safety and to ensure the defendant shows up to court. It adopts and slightly tightens the bond limitations for OR bonds. All other bonds, appropriately, should require a defendant to post cash or use a professional surety who will be accountable for the defendant's appearance. Remembering that the recovery rate of defendants on surety bonds is important to the bondsman because they must write the check if the defendant doesn't appear.

The sky will not fall

Opponents have suggested that the result of passing HB2070 will be jails becoming overcrowded. If appearance bonds are set appropriately, there is no reason that defendants will be detained any longer than appropriate. Here is how it should work: If a defendant is a serious risk to society, the bond amount should be set appropriately high.

On the other hand, if the only concern is providing sufficient motivation to appear, the bond amount need only be high enough that payment of the amount would make the defendant try very hard to remember to appear. If a defendant is indigent, that amount may be a low as \$100. The bottom line is that judges continue to have discretion over the amount of the bond set. This bill only dictates that only the lowest-risk defendants can get out of jail with a signature and nothing more.