

Testimony on SB 302
to
The Senate Agriculture Committee

By Erik Wisner
Regulatory and Policy Special Assistant
Kansas Department of Agriculture

February 1, 2012

Good morning, Chairman Taddiken, and members of the committee. I am Erik Wisner, Regulatory and Policy Special Assistant with the Kansas Department of Agriculture, and I am here in support of Senate Bill 302.

SB 302 authorizes the secretary to ensure animals are handled and slaughtered humanely at plants registered under the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act by amending KSA 65-6a20 and 65-6a31. This change is necessary for the state inspection program to maintain its “equal to” status according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Food Safety and Inspection Service. Additionally, KSA 65-6a41 would be amended so record keeping requirements are similar to the requirements in the federal acts.

SB 302 adds three new sections to the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act. Temporary suspension authority is added that is consistent with the Kansas Administrative Procedures Act, and Kansas’ other food laws. Voluntary inspection authority for non-amenable species is added by this bill. This will provide greater flexibility for plants wanting to slaughter non-amenable species under inspection. The final new section contains provisions for when inspection services are required and instructs the secretary to take into account the efficient and effective use of personnel when approving inspection work schedules. This language is similar to the federal regulation currently adopted by reference.

This bill amends KSA 65-6a34 by establishing a \$25 registration fee for all registrations. The \$25 fee allows the program to cover the administrative costs of issuing the registration. Currently, fees under the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act range from no cost to \$250. Kansas has the second highest registration fees of any state inspection program. USDA and most other states have a free or minimal registration fee. At present, while registration for wholesalers is free, they are still issued a registration and receive an inspection by the program. At this time, the current fees only represent about 1 percent of the total budget for the program. Because the fees make up such a small portion of the budget any decrease will not affect program operations.

SB 302 makes technical changes to the definitions, to ensure clarity and consistency throughout the act. New definitions for “humane slaughter act,” and “federal humane methods of livestock slaughter act” were also added to supplement amendments made regarding humane slaughter.

I will stand for questions at the appropriate time.