Session of 2012

SENATE BILL No. 305

By Committee on Judiciary

Å repealing the existing section. limitations; competency proceedings; amending K.S.A. 22-3402 and ACT concerning criminal procedure; relating 6 trials;

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas

court under subsection $(\frac{5}{2})$ (e). 3402. (+) (a) If any person charged with a crime and held in jail solely by defendant or defendant's attorney, or a continuance shall be ordered by the the delay shall happen as a result of the application or fault of the discharged from further liability to be tried for the crime charged, unless person's arraignment on the charge, such person shall be entitled to be reason thereof shall not be brought to trial within 90 days after such Section 1. K.S.A. 22-3402 is hereby amended to read as follows: 22

subsection (5) (e). shall happen as a result of the application or fault of the defendant or arraignment on the charge, such person shall be entitled to be discharged defendant's attorney, or a continuance shall be ordered by the court under appearance bond shall not be brought to trial within 180 days after from further liability to be tried for the crime charged, unless the delay (2) (b) If any person charged with a crime and held to answer on ar

prescribed by subsection (b), before any tolled time is considered. plus either the 90 days prescribed by subsection (a) or the 180 days result of such delay. The original trial deadline is the date of arraignment shall be the original trial deadline plus 90 days and the time tolled as a the original trial deadline or defendant's attorney, the deadline for trial request of the defendant, the trial shall be rescheduled within 90 days of subsection (+) or (2) (a) or (b) is delayed by the application of or at the (3) (c) If any trial scheduled within the time limitation prescribed by

prescribed by subsection (2) (h) and more than 90 days of the original time warrant. However, if the defendant was subject to the 180-day deadline surrendered appeared in court after apprehension or surrender on such appear for the trial or any pretrial hearing, and a bench warrant is ordered, lunitation remain, then the original time limitation remains in effect. the trial shall be rescheduled within 90 days after the defendant has been prescribed by subsection (1) or (2) (a), (b) or (c), if the defendant fails to (4) (d) After any trial date has been set within the time limitation

> House Corrections & Juvenile Justice Committee 2012 Session

3-15-12 Attachment#

subsections (1) and (2) for any of the following reasons: (b) or (c), the time for trial may be extended beyond the limitations of (1) (e) For those situations not otherwise covered by subsections (a).

subsequently found to be competent to stand trial, the trial shall be (a) (1) The defendant is incompetent to stand trial. If the defendant is

scheduled within 90 days of such finding; (b) (2) A proceeding to determine the defendant's competency to

stand trial is pending and a determination thereof may not be completed within the time limitations fixed for trial by this section. If the defendant is

competency shall never be counted against the state; subject to the 180-day deadline prescribed by subsection (b) and more scheduled within 90 days of such finding. However, if the defendant was subsequently found to be competent to stand trial, the trial shall be than 90 days of the original time limitation remain, then the original time limitation remains in effect. The time that a decision is pending on

shown, where the original continuance was for less than 90 days, and the continuance may be granted the state on this ground, unless for good cause trial commenced within the next succeeding 90 days. Not more than one are reasonable grounds to believe that such evidence can be obtained and trial is commenced within 120 days from the original trial date; reasonable efforts have been made to procure such evidence; and that there There is material evidence which is unavailable; that

for trial by this section. Not more than one continuance of not more than have sufficient time to commence the trial of the case within the time fixed (d) (4) Because of other cases pending for trial, the court does not

30 days may be ordered upon this ground.

date the mandate of the supreme court or court of appeals is filed in the of appeals, the time limitations provided for herein shall commence to run district court. from the date the mistrial is declared, the date a new trial is ordered or the granted or a conviction is reversed on appeal to the supreme court or court In the event a mistrial is declared, a motion for new trial is

a case or for reversing a conviction. without consulting the defendant and may do so over the defendant's subsections (a), (b) or (c) and shall not be used as a ground for dismissing reason, such delay shall not be considered against the state under regardless of the reasons for making the request. If a delay is initially such delay is granted, the delay shall be charged to the defendant objection. If the defendant or defendant's attorney requests a delay and uttributed to the defendant, but is subsequently charged to the state for any The defendant's attorney may request a delay in any proceeding

delay.

subsections (a), (b) or (c) and is delayed because a party has made or When a scheduled trial is scheduled within the period allowed by

lany event as soon as practicable and in

there is prosecutorial misconduct related to such violation of the constitutional right to a speedy trial or unless not considering such delay would result in a

order. shall be brought to trial is extended 30 days from the date of the court provisions of subsections (a), (b) or (c), the time in which the defendant raising a concern, until the matter is resolved by court order shall not be order occurs at a time when less than 30 days remains under the considered when determining if a violation under subsections (a), (b) or elapsing from the date of the making or filing of the motion, or the court's filed a motion, or because the court raises a concern on its own, the time (c) has occurred. If the resolution of such motion or concern by court

appellate court later determines that the district court erred by granting provided in this statute, the time elapsing because of the order granting the state's request. the delay shall not be subsequently counted against the state if an \hat{G} If the state requests and is granted a delay for any reason

20 19 18

publication in the statute book

This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its

16 15

appellate court.

Sec. 2.

K.S.A. 22-3402 is hereby repealed.

legal challenge or proceeding that comes before a district court or an

—The provisions of this section shall be applied retroactively in any

5 5

delay.

violation of the constitutional right to a speedy trial or there is prosecutorial misconduct related to such unless not considering such delay would result in a